

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 12 2005

The First National Bank of Livingston
308 W. Church St.
Livingston, Texas 77351
TELEPHONE (936) 327-1234
FAX (936) 328-5483

TO: U.S. Patent OfficeFAX: 1-703-872-9306FROM: Huey Thomas CrochetDATE: 7-12-2005SENDING: FAX COVER AND 2 PAGESRE: ATTN: Director Don Hajec
Office of Director of Technologies, 31200 Dept.Regarding: Supervisor intervention and subsequent
Remedy for improper paper filed by examiner.

First National Bank
of Livingston

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone and return the original message to the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our expense.

THANK YOU!

Letter to Director Don Hajec
Office of Director of Technologies 3600 Dept.
Regarding application 10,083,771
Applicant - Huey Thomas Crochet
Examiner - Kurt Rowan
Art Unit - 3643

Sir,

The applicants examiner, Mr. Rowan, has responded improperly to said applicants current Claim 22.

On 10-29-04 the applicant filed Claim 21 which was rejected on 02-08-2005, pending amendment.

The applicant filed a substitute claim on 04-12-05 replacing claim 21 and containing the amendments specified by Mr. Rowan. Said Claim was received by the patent office in a timely fashion on 04-15-05.

Instead of responding to the now current Claim 22, the examiner filed a paper headed Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief, where in, in section 1 box (A) is the only box checked and is followed by the statement that the period for reply expires 3 months from the date of final rejection.

Sir, the record shows that the applicants Claim 22, was timely filed.

In section 3, the examiner states that the applicants proposed amendment was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing for appeal and will not be entered because they bring up new issues and would require further research and consideration.

The applicant repeats that he filed in a timely fashion and that everything in Claim 22 comes from or finds clear support in the applicants specification.

The applicant contends that since his filing of Claim 22 was timely filed, any questions about new issues should be addressed on appeal.

The examiners last entries in the advisory are in Section 7 and state simply that for purposes of appeal the proposed amendments will not be entered and that Claim 21 is rejected.

Sir, the applicant submits that examiner Rowan should be made to follow the same rules that he imposes on applicants. To that end, the applicant respectfully requests the Director to intervene on the applicants behalf so that Claim 22 is properly recognized as the applicants current claim.

Thank You

Signed Wiley Thomas Crochet Dated 7,12,2005